


**Table 2: Participation by roundtable session**



Looking at Table 2 above, we see a graph illustrating the participation changes (based on lines of text) over the four events among our three groups, project team, community, and government. We also see a percentage of participation developed from the total count of participants at the tables divided by the number of representatives for each group. The following observations illustrate difference and similarities between the three groups.

- It is interesting to note that three of the four high points of community participation all occur in groups led by core STUDIO members, discussing subject matter that is based on environment, education and aesthetics.
- Six project team spikes occur during discussion of more technical subject matter. This was expected as professional advisors were brought in to address specific issues of history, land and water policy.
- Government input stayed fairly consistent with spikes in the soil, slag and geology roundtable. This spike is a result of the city providing a speaker to address ongoing questions about the site's toxicity analysis.
- Looking at integration and equitable distribution of speaking time, we find three roundtables in three events where the project, community and government groups strike a productive and equitable balance.

**Key**

█ **Project**    
 █ **Community**    
 █ **Government**

Length, in inches, of comments (from "Recap" transcripts)

